

Strong solutions to the singular coagulation and multi-fragmentation equations

Jitraj Saha* and Jitendra Kumar†

*Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur
Kharagpur-721302, India.*

November 24, 2015

Abstract

In this paper the existence and uniqueness of the solutions to the singular, non-linear, initial value problem is studied. In particular, we consider a special type of problem which physically represents the evolution of particle number density with time resulted due to the coagulation and fragmentation process. The singularity of the problem is due to the presence of singular functions in the coagulation kernel. Besides singularity, the coagulation kernel also includes a large class of the unbounded non-singular functions. On the other hand, the multiple fragmentation kernel is chosen such that it includes a very wide class of unbounded, non-singular functions. Moreover, both the coagulation and the fragmentation kernels satisfy a certain growth condition which can possibly be linear at infinity. The proof of the existence theory is based on strong convergence theory of the sequences where the kernels enjoy much relaxed conditions than before. Thus the solution (when exists) is derivable w.r.t. the time variable t . It is also observed that the solution satisfies the mass conservative laws.

Keywords: singular coagulation, multi-fragmentation, existence, uniqueness, strong solutions

2010 subject classification: 45J05, 34A34, 45L10

1 Introduction

In a dynamical system, when two or more particles collide spontaneously and undergo changes in their physical properties like is called the *particulate process*. The study of the particulate process is well known in various branches of engineering, physics, chemistry, astrophysics and in many more related areas. During the particulate process, the spontaneous collisions among the particles result in the change of their mass/volume, shape, size etc. In this work, we mainly concern about the two well known particulate processes; the coagulation or aggregation and the fragmentation or breakage of the particles. During aggregation, two or more particles unite to form a larger particle, whereas

* Email address: jitraj@iitkgp.ac.in

† Email address: jkumar@maths.iitkgp.ernet.in

fragmentation is just the reverse process of aggregation. The effect of aggregation and fragmentation can be observed both in natural phenomenon like river delta formation, rock crushing/erosion etc. and in industries for paper making, water treatment, pharmaceutical, food processing and many more. Thus, due to these events the particle number density in a system get changed. So, to incorporate the rate of change in particle number density of a dynamical system undergoing coagulation and multi-fragmentation process the following mathematical equation is required;

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f(x, t)}{\partial t} = & \frac{1}{2} \int_0^x K(x-y, y) f(x-y, t) f(y, t) dy - f(x, t) \int_0^\infty K(x, y) f(y, t) dy \\ & + \int_x^\infty b(x, y) S(y) f(y, t) dy - S(x) f(x, t) \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

with the initial data,

$$f(x, 0) = f_0(x) \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } x > 0 \quad (1.2)$$

Clearly, the set of the equations (1.1) & (1.2) are basically a special type of initial valued integro-partial differential equation and they are well known in the literature as the population balance equation (PBE). Thus the PBEs (1.1) & (1.2) are special type of evolution equations which represent the particulate process of the nature. For further details related to the evolutionary equations the readers can refer to the book Banasiak and Kharroubi 2015.

In the above equation (1.1), the function $f(x, t)$ denotes the number density of the particles of mass $x(> 0)$ present in the system at time $t(> 0)$. The coagulation kernel $K(x, y)$ denotes the rate at which particles of mass x unite with particles of mass y to form larger particles of mass $x + y$. Similarly, the rate of fragmentation of the particles of mass y is denoted by the selection function $S(y)$. The term $b(x, y)$ is the breakage function. It is the probability density function denoting the formation of particles of mass x from the particles of mass y . The function $b(x, y)$ is non-zero only when $x < y$, i.e., $b(x, y) = 0$, for all $x > y$. In general, for all $y > 0$ the breakage function is considered to satisfy the following conditions

$$\int_0^y b(x, y) dx = \nu(y) \leq N \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$\int_0^y xb(x, y) dx = y. \quad (1.4)$$

In equation (1.3), $\nu(y)$ is the total number of pieces produced due to the breakage of the particle of mass y . Thus, when *multiple fragmentation* of a particle occurs then $\nu(y)$ is greater than 2. Here, $\nu(y)$ is considered to be bounded by a finite number $N(\geq 2)$. In general, when a particle of mass y breaks the total mass of the fragments formed is equal to y . This fact has been represented in (1.4). Moreover, for the system which conserves the total mass during fragmentation events, $b(x, y)$ is considered to satisfy the equation (1.4). In order to tally with the binary fragmentation kernel, a function $\Gamma(y, x)$ is frequently used as an alternative notation to represent the multiple fragmentation

kernel. This function $\Gamma(y, x)$ denotes the rate of formation of particles of mass x due to the breakage of the particles of mass y . The detailed interrelations between $b(x, y)$, $S(x)$ and $\Gamma(y, x)$ can be found in McLaughlin, Lamb, and McBride 1997a. From the physical aspects of the coagulation kernel, it is obvious that the function $K(x, y)$ is non-negative and symmetric, *i.e.*

$$K(x, y) = K(y, x), \quad \text{for all } 0 < x, y < \infty.$$

In the equation (1.1), the first and the third integrals are referred as the *birth terms* as they denote the formation or addition of particle of mass x in the system. While the second and the fourth term both describes the loss or removal of particles of removal x from the system and are called as *death terms*. Further details of all the four terms present in the r.h.s. of equation (1.1) can be found in the article Saha and Kumar 2014.

Since the function $f(x, t)$ denotes the density function therefore, its moments are actually the integral properties of the density function $f(x, t)$. It is interesting to note that for the PBE (1.1) some of the moments represent important physical quantities like total number, mass etc. of the particles. To this end, we formally define the i^{th} moment of the particle number density function as,

$$N_i(t) = \int_0^\infty x^i f(x, t) dx, \quad i \geq 0. \quad (1.5)$$

In particular, the zeroth ($i = 0$) and first ($i = 1$) moments are proportional to the total number and total mass of the particles in the system at time t , respectively. Now, for a mass conservative particulate system the total mass at any point of time must be same as the initial total mass, *i.e.*, the first moment remains constant with time. Hence, the *mass conservation law* is given by

$$\frac{dN_1(t)}{dt} = 0. \quad (1.6)$$

Before we proceed to discuss our problem, let us have a brief discussion over the relevant works on the existence theory present in the literature.

1.1 Previous theories

Since the population balance equations are developed from the experimental modeling, so an important but natural part of the study is to establish the well-posedness of the problem and this is done by analyzing the existence, uniqueness of the solutions of these problems mathematically. In the literature, different mathematical results on the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the coagulation fragmentation equations in both the continuous and discrete forms can be found. In these studies, the authors have mainly developed the existence and uniqueness theory by considering the solutions in different functional spaces with suitable bounds over the coagulation and fragmentation kernels. A through review through the previous literatures builds the idea that the finiteness of the initial total mass and number of the particles are required to establish the well-posedness of the coagulation-fragmentation models.

Since, continuous form of the PBE (1.1), (1.2) is considered in this work so let us have a

review over the development of existence, uniqueness theories for the continuous PBEs. Melzak 1957 was the pioneer to discuss the existence, uniqueness theory for the continuous form of PBEs by considering both the coagulation and fragmentation kernels to be bounded functions. Afterwards, Stewart 1989; Stewart 1990a treated the continuous equations and proved the existence, uniqueness theory using L^1 compactness methods for unbounded kernels. Later, Laurençot 2000 has discussed the existence theory of the continuous PBEs for a larger class of coagulation kernel. McLaughlin, Lamb, and McBride 1997a established the existence, uniqueness theory for the multiple fragmentation equation using the semigroup approach with the unbounded selection function. This result was extended by McLaughlin, Lamb, and McBride 1997b for the combined coagulation, multiple fragmentation equation with the assumptions that $K(x, y)$ is constant and $\Gamma(x, y)$ is chosen from the space $L^1([0, \infty[\times]0, \infty[)$. Using similar arguments, Lamb 2004 discussed the existence of solutions to (1.1), (1.2) under the less restrictive conditions that $K(x, y)$ is bounded, $S(x)$ satisfies a linear growth condition and $b(x, y)$ satisfies equations (1.3) and (1.4). Dubovskii and Stewart 1996 has discussed on existence of strong solutions for the PBEs where both the coagulation and binary fragmentation kernels are unbounded. In the articles [Stewart 1990b; Stewart 1991], Stewart has discussed some further details about the mass conservative property for different forms of the continuous equations. Other than the above mentioned works, the literature contains several works of Ball and Carr 1990; Carr and Da Costa 1992; Da Costa 1995; Laurençot and Mischler 2002; McLeod 1962, where the existence, uniqueness theory for the discrete coagulation fragmentation equations have been established.

All the theories mentioned above have been established for PBEs with non-singular coagulation kernels. It is observed that the small particles tend to coagulate at a higher rate to form bigger particles as compared to the big particles. This fact is well captured for the singular coagulation kernels. So, there has been a lot of experimental works done by considering different forms of PBEs with singular coagulation kernels. Among those the shear kernels Shiloh, Sideman, and Resnick 1973; Smoluchowski 1917, granulation kernels Kapur 1972, EKE kernels Hounslow 1998, Smoluchowski 1917 kernel respectively representing the shear motion of gas, kinetics of granulation of particles, equi-partition of the kinetic energy of particles, Brownian motion of the particles are very important. But in most of the cases the modeling of the PBEs with singular coagulation kernels is based upon experimental observations and they lack in their theoretic foundations. Therefore, we choose to consider the problem incorporating singular coagulation kernel and aim to widen the existence theory so that it can cover most of the above mentioned practical kernels in to consideration. In the following part, we shall have a brief look towards the theoretic development for the singular coagulation kernels.

1.2 The singular problem

In this article we develop the existence and uniqueness of the mass conserving *strong solutions* of the equations (1.1), (1.2). To our knowledge, the most recent theoretic work in the literature concerning the existence, uniqueness of solutions for the PBE with singular coagulation kernel and multiple fragmentation kernel can be found in the articles of Camejo and Warnecke 2014; Saha and Kumar 2014. In the article Camejo and Warnecke 2014, the authors have proved the existence of the weak solutions of (1.1), (1.2) based upon the L^1 compactness methods and in Saha and Kumar 2014 the existence of the

strong solutions has been established based upon the strong convergence results for the continuous functions. So, let us have a brief review over the nature of the kernels considered in Saha and Kumar 2014. In Saha and Kumar 2014, the authors have considered singular coagulation kernel satisfying the bound $K(x, y) \leq k \frac{(1+x)^\lambda (1+y)^\lambda}{(xy)^\sigma}$, with $\sigma \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, $\lambda - \sigma \in [0, 1]$. Because of the bounds over the coagulation kernel in Saha and Kumar 2014 it is possible for the system to loose mass at some point of time. Therefore, in order to get a mass conservative solution, a strong fragmentation criterion (Refer to Escobedo et al. 2003) has been imposed upon the selection function as $S(x) \leq S_1 x^\beta$, $S_0 x^\alpha < S(x)$, where S_0, S_1 are constants and α, β satisfy certain bounds.

In this work, we develop and extend the theory of Saha and Kumar 2014 by considering the coagulation kernel to satisfy the bound $K(x, y) \leq k \frac{(1+x+y)^\lambda}{(xy)^\sigma}$, where k is a positive constant, $0 \leq \sigma < 1$ and $\lambda - \sigma \in [0, 1]$. Therefore, the kernel $K(x, y)$ possess singularity over both the coordinate axes. Since $0 \leq \sigma < 1$, the coagulation kernel in this work is able to include a strong singularity condition compared to the previous work Saha and Kumar 2014. It is interesting to note that due to an increased range of σ , a wider class of kernels corresponding to particle Brownian diffusion motion is included in to consideration which the previous article Saha and Kumar 2014 fails. This is a singular coagulation kernel proposed by von Smoluchowski Smoluchowski 1916 in the form of

$$K(x, y) \leq k \left(x^{\frac{1}{a}} + y^{\frac{1}{a}} \right) \left(x^{-\frac{1}{a}} + y^{-\frac{1}{a}} \right)$$

where $k(> 0)$ is a constant, a is the fractal dimension of the coagulating cluster and is popularly known in the literature as *Smoluchowski's kernel*. In this paper we consider $\frac{1}{a} \in]0, 1[$. Other than the Smoluchowski's kernel, the present theory is also able to include the well known EKE kernel, the granulation kernel, the shear kernels (representing both linear Smoluchowski 1917 and non-linear velocity profile Shiloh, Sideman, and Resnick 1973), kernel representing the activated sludge flocculation Ding, Hounslow, and Biggs 2006 and the kernel showing aerosol dynamics Friedlander 2000 into our theoretic consideration. These coagulation kernels include both the singular and non-singular types of kernels and are very important in the literature because of their immense practical usage. In case of the fragmentation kernel, the bound considered to be $S(x) \leq S_0 x^\alpha$, where $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. With this bound we are able to develop the existence theory for a huge class of unbounded, non-singular selection functions. The proof of our existence theory is based upon the application of strong convergence results for the continuous functions to a suitably chosen sequence of approximating solutions. Therefore, existence of the strong solution is obtained in this work which is also derivable with respect to t . Another interesting part of this work is that the uniqueness theory has been proved for the same class of kernels which is considered in the existence theory. Moreover, it can be observed that both the coagulation kernel and the fragmentation kernel can reach up to a linear growth at infinity. Therefore, in this work we deal with a wider class of singular coagulation functions along with a less restrictive conditions over the fragmentation functions as compared to Saha and Kumar 2014 to obtain the existence of mass conserving strong solutions. Moreover, the uniqueness of the solutions has been proved for the same class of kernels as defined in existence theory.

The outline of this paper is as follows. Next section presents the existence theory of the solutions. The Section 3 presents the mass conservation law satisfied by the den-

sity function. The uniqueness results have been proved in Section 4. Finally, overall conclusions are made in the last section.

2 The existence theory

Let us introduce the space,

$$\Pi := \{(x, t) : 0 < x < \infty, 0 \leq t \leq T\},$$

where $T(> 0)$ is fixed and denote a rectangular strip of Π as

$$\Pi(X_1, X_2, T) := \{(x, t) : X_1 \leq x \leq X_2, 0 \leq t \leq T\},$$

where $0 < X_1 < X_2$ are finite. Let $C([0, \infty[, [0, T])$ denotes the set of continuous functions $f(x, t)$ over the interval $]0, \infty[\times [0, T]$.

For the existence theory, we consider the functional space

$$\Omega_{r_1, r_2}(T) = \{f(x, t) \in C([0, \infty[, [0, T]) : \|f\|_\Omega < \infty\}$$

where $r_1 \geq 1, 0 < r_2 < 1$ and the norm $\|\cdot\|_\Omega$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_\Omega := \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_0^\infty \left(x^{r_1} + \frac{1}{x^{r_2}} \right) |f(x, t)| dx.$$

Let $\Omega_{r_1, r_2}^+(T)$ be the set of all non-negative functions in $\Omega_{r_1, r_2}(T)$. To show the existence of the solution to the PBE (1.1), (1.2) we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let the functions $K(x, y)$, $b(x, y)$ and $S(x)$ be non-negative and continuous for all $x, y \in]0, \infty[$ with $K(x, y)$ being symmetric. Suppose,*

- i. $K(x, y) \leq k \frac{(1+x+y)^\lambda}{(xy)^\sigma}$ with a positive constant k , $0 \leq \sigma < 1$, $\lambda - \sigma \in [0, 1]$ and for all $x, y \in]0, \infty[$,
- ii. $S(x) \leq S_0 x^\alpha$, for all $x \in]0, \infty[$ where S_0 is a constant and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$,
- iii. there exists a positive real number γ such that $\sigma < \gamma < 1$ and $\int_0^y \frac{1}{x^\gamma} b(x, y) dx \leq \frac{N_0}{y^\gamma}$ where $N_0 > 0$ is a constant,
- iv. there is Y such that $\sup_{x \in [x_1, x_2]} b(x, y) \leq \bar{b}$, $\forall 0 < x_1 < x_2 < \infty$, $y > Y$ and $\bar{b}$ is a constant

holds good. Then for the initial data satisfying $f_0(x) \in \Omega_{r_1, r_2}^+(0)$, the initial value problem (1.1) & (1.2) has at least one solution in $\Omega_{r_1, r_2}^+(T)$.

Proof. The basic idea of the proof is that we first establish the existence of a sequence of solutions over a truncated domain. Later it is proved that the sequence converges to a solution of the equations (1.1), (1.2) in the actual domain $\Omega_{r_1, r_2}^+(T)$.

Let the kernels $K(x, y)$ and $S(x)$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.1 for all $x, y \in]0, \infty[$ and the initial data $f_0(x)$ belongs to the space $\Omega_{r_1, r_2}^+(0)$.

So to start with, we consider the ‘kernel truncation’ idea of Saha and Kumar 2014 and construct the following sequence of continuous kernels $\{K_n, S_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ from the class of kernels as defined in Theorem 2.1,

$$K_n(x, y) \begin{cases} = K(x, y), & \text{when } \frac{1}{n} \leq x, y \leq n, \\ \leq K(x, y), & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$S_n(x) \begin{cases} = S(x), & \text{when } \frac{1}{n} \leq x \leq n, \\ \leq S(x), & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

By construction the new kernels $K_n(x, y)$ and $S_n(x)$ are continuous functions of x, y and they continuously decreases to ‘zero’ outside the intervals $[\frac{1}{n}, n] \times [\frac{1}{n}, n]$ and $[\frac{1}{n}, n]$ respectively. Therefore, the intervals $[\frac{1}{n}, n] \times [\frac{1}{n}, n]$ and $[\frac{1}{n}, n]$ can be treated as the compact support for the kernels $K_n(x, y)$ and $S_n(x)$. Moreover, the kernels $K(x, y)$ and $S(x)$ are continuous over a closed interval, therefore, the family of kernels $\{K_n\}$ and $\{S_n\}$ are equicontinuous over that interval. In accordance to the works of Dubovskii and Stewart 1996 and Stewart Stewart 1989, the sequences of functions $\{K_n, S_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ generates on Π a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of non-negative, continuous, mass-conserving solutions to the problem (1.1), (1.2) having kernels K_n, S_n . These solutions $f_n(x, t)$ belong to the space $\Omega_{r_1, r_2}^+(T)$. Therefore, the PBE (1.1) is written in the following truncated form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_n(x, t)}{\partial t} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^x K_n(x-y, y) f_n(x-y, t) f_n(y, t) dy - f_n(x, t) \int_0^\infty K_n(x, y) f_n(y, t) dy \\ &\quad + \int_x^\infty b(x, y) S_n(y) f_n(y, t) dy - S_n(x) f_n(x, t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Now corresponding to the sequence of solutions $\{f_n(x, t)\}$, let us define the truncated moments as

$$N_{i,n}(t) = \int_0^\infty x^i f_n(x, t) dx, \quad n \geq 1, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

In the following part of the proof of this theorem we need the boundedness of each of the truncated moments. So, let us first gather the boundedness of these moments. By direct integration of equation (2.1) with a weight x over the range $0, \infty$, we obtain

$$\frac{dN_{1,n}(t)}{dt} = \int_0^\infty x \frac{\partial f_n(x, t)}{\partial t} dx.$$

Since the kernels $K_n(x, y)$ and $S_n(x)$ have compact support all the integrals appeared after computing right hand side of the above equation are finite and equal so they cancel out. Hence, we get

$$N_{1,n}(t) = \int_0^\infty x f_0(x) dx = \bar{N}_1, \text{ a constant independent of } n, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (2.2)$$

To get the uniform boundedness of $N_{0,n}(t)$ we proceed as below,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dN_{0,n}(t)}{dt} &= \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_0^x K_n(x-y, y) f_n(x-y, t) f_n(y, t) dy - \int_0^\infty K_n(x, y) f_n(x, t) f_n(y, t) dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_x^\infty b(x, y) S_n(y) f_n(y, t) dy - S_n(x) f_n(x, t) \right] dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Changing the order of integration of the first and third integrals of (2.3) then substituting $x - y = x'$, $y = y'$ in the first integral and again changing the order of integration, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dN_{0,n}(t)}{dt} &\leq \int_0^\infty \int_0^y b(x, y) S_n(y) f_n(y, t) dx dy - \int_0^\infty S_n(x) f_n(x, t) dx \\
&\leq NS_0 \int_0^\infty y^\alpha f_n(y, t) dy \quad [\text{By relation (1.3)}] \\
&= NS_0 \left[\int_0^1 y^\alpha f_n(y, t) dy + \int_1^\infty y^\alpha f_n(y, t) dy \right] \\
&\leq NS_0 \left[\int_0^1 f_n(y, t) dy + \int_1^\infty y f_n(y, t) dy \right] \\
&\leq NS_0 [N_{0,n}(t) + \bar{N}_1].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$N_{0,n}(t) \leq \bar{N}_0, \text{ where } \bar{N}_0 \text{ is a constant independent of } n, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (2.4)$$

Let us now check the boundedness of $N_{2,n}$ for $r_1 = 2$. Integrating the equation (2.1) with the weight x^2 and using the bounds over the kernels and proceeding as before, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dN_{2,n}(t)}{dt} &= \int_0^\infty x^2 \frac{\partial f_n(x, t)}{\partial t} dx \\
&\leq k \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (xy)^{1-\sigma} (1+x+y)^\lambda f_n(x, t) f_n(y, t) dy dx \\
&\leq k \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty [(xy)^{1-\sigma} + x^{1+\lambda-\sigma} y^{1-\sigma} + x^{1-\sigma} y^{1+\lambda-\sigma}] f_n(x, t) f_n(y, t) dy dx \\
&= k \int_0^1 \int_0^1 [(xy)^{1-\sigma} + x^{1+\lambda-\sigma} y^{1-\sigma} + x^{1-\sigma} y^{1+\lambda-\sigma}] f_n(x, t) f_n(y, t) dy dx \\
&\quad + k \int_0^1 \int_1^\infty [(xy)^{1-\sigma} + x^{1+\lambda-\sigma} y^{1-\sigma} + x^{1-\sigma} y^{1+\lambda-\sigma}] f_n(x, t) f_n(y, t) dy dx \\
&\quad + k \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 [(xy)^{1-\sigma} + x^{1+\lambda-\sigma} y^{1-\sigma} + x^{1-\sigma} y^{1+\lambda-\sigma}] f_n(x, t) f_n(y, t) dy dx \\
&\quad + k \int_1^\infty \int_1^\infty [(xy)^{1-\sigma} + x^{1+\lambda-\sigma} y^{1-\sigma} + x^{1-\sigma} y^{1+\lambda-\sigma}] f_n(x, t) f_n(y, t) dy dx \\
&\leq k [\bar{N}_0^2 + 3\bar{N}_1\bar{N}_0 + 2\bar{N}_1^2] + 2k (\bar{N}_1 + \bar{N}_0) N_{2,n}(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Recalling equations (2.2) and (2.4), we have $\bar{N}_1$ and $\bar{N}_0$ are constants independent of n respectively. Thus from the above inequality, we obtain

$$N_{2,n}(t) \leq \bar{N}_2 \text{ where } \bar{N}_2 \text{ is a constant independent of } n, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (2.5)$$

Similarly, considering $r_1 = 3$ and integrating (2.1) with the weight x^3 and using the bounds over $K(x, y)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dN_{3,n}(t)}{dt} &= \int_0^\infty x^3 \frac{\partial f_n(x, t)}{\partial t} dx \\
&\leq \frac{3k}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (xy)^{1-\sigma} (x+y)(1+x+y)^\lambda f_n(x, t) f_n(y, t) dy dx \\
&\leq 3k [\bar{N}_0\bar{N}_1 + 3\bar{N}_1^2 + 3\bar{N}_0\bar{N}_2 + \bar{N}_2^2 + 7\bar{N}_1\bar{N}_2] + 3k (\bar{N}_1 + 2\bar{N}_0) N_{3,n}(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$N_{3,n}(t) \leq \bar{N}_3, \text{ where } \bar{N}_3 \text{ is independent of } n, 0 \leq t \leq T, n \geq 1.$$

So, for $r_1 > 3$ we can proceed further in a similar way to obtain the uniform boundedness of $N_{r_1,n}(t)$ where, $n \geq 1$ and $0 \leq t \leq T$.

Therefore, combining all these above relations, we obtain,

$$N_{i,n}(t) \leq \bar{N}_i = \text{constant (independent of } n) \text{ if } t \in [0, T], i = 0, 1, \dots, r_1, n \geq 1. \quad (2.6)$$

Let γ be a real number, satisfying $\sigma < \gamma < 1$ and the condition (iii) of Theorem 2.1. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dN_{-\gamma,n}(t)}{dt} &\leq \int_0^\infty \int_0^y \frac{1}{x^\gamma} b(x, y) S_n(y) f_n(y, t) dx dy - \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{y^\gamma} S_n(y) f_n(y, t) dy \\ &\leq S_0 (N_0 - 1) \int_0^\infty y^{\alpha-\gamma} f_n(y, t) dy \\ &= S_0 (N_0 - 1) N_{\alpha-\gamma,n}(t). \end{aligned}$$

From the bounds of γ and α it is clear that $-1 < \alpha - \gamma < 1$.

If $0 \leq \alpha - \gamma < 1$, then recalling the inequality (2.6) we get $N_{\alpha-\gamma}(t) \leq \bar{N}_0 + \bar{N}_1$.

If $-1 < \alpha - \gamma < 0$, then we find $\frac{d}{dt} N_{\alpha-\gamma,n}(t) \leq S_0 (N_0 - 1) N_{2\alpha-\gamma,n}(t)$. If $2\alpha - \gamma > 0$, then we stop or else find $\frac{d}{dt} N_{2\alpha-\gamma,n}(t)$. This iteration continues till $p\alpha - \gamma > 0$ ($p > 0$ an integer). Consequently, combining both the cases we find that

$$N_{-\gamma,n}(t) \leq \bar{N}_\gamma, \text{ a constant independent of } n, t \in [0, T], n \geq 1. \quad (2.7)$$

Thus, in the relations (2.6) and (2.7) we have gathered all the required information about the boundedness of the moments which are necessary in the following part of the proof. Now, to ensure the existence of a convergent sequence of the solutions $\{f_n\}$, let us prove the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. *The sequence of solutions $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is relatively compact in the uniform-convergent space of continuous functions over the rectangle $\Pi(X_1, X_2, T)$.*

Proof. The Lemma is proved in the following steps,

1. first the uniform boundedness of the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is proved,
2. then the equi-continuity of the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with respect to the mass variable x is obtained and finally,
3. the equi-continuity of the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with respect to time variable t is obtained.

Step I:

Here we prove that $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is uniformly bounded on $\Pi(X_1, X_2, T)$.

Let $X = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{X_1}, X_2 \right\}$.

Therefore, for $(x, t) \in \Pi(X_1, X_2, T)$ the equation (2.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_n(x, t)}{\partial t} &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^x K_n(x-y, y) f_n(x-y, t) f_n(y, t) dy + \int_x^\infty S_n(y) b(x, y) f_n(y, t) dy \\ &\leq \frac{k}{2} \int_0^x \frac{(1+x)^\lambda}{(x-y)^\sigma y^\sigma} f_n(x-y, t) f_n(y, t) dy + S_0 \int_x^\infty y^\alpha b(x, y) f_n(y, t) dy \\ &\leq \frac{k}{2} (1+X)^\lambda \int_0^x g_n(x-y, t) g_n(y, t) dy + S_0 \bar{b} \bar{N}_{[\alpha]} \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{N}_{[\alpha]}$ is a constant and

$$g(y, s) = \frac{f(y, s)}{y^\sigma}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g_n(x, t)}{\partial t} &\leq \frac{k}{2} (1+X)^\lambda X^\sigma \int_0^x g_n(x-y, t) g_n(y, t) dy + X^\sigma S_0 \bar{b} \bar{N}_{[\alpha]} \\ &= \frac{k}{2} (1+X)^\lambda X^\sigma g_n * g_n(x, t) + X^\sigma S_0 \bar{b} \bar{N}_{[\alpha]}, \end{aligned}$$

where, $g_n * g_n(x, t)$ is the convolution given by,

$$g_n * g_n(x, t) = \int_0^x g_n(x-y, t) g_n(y, t) dy.$$

Integrating the above inequality with respect to t , we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_n(x, t) &\leq g(x, 0) + \int_0^t \left[\frac{k}{2} (1+X)^\lambda X^\sigma g_n * g_n(x, s) + X^\sigma S_0 \bar{b} \bar{N}_{[\alpha]} \right] ds \\ &\leq \bar{g}_0 + \int_0^t \left[\frac{k}{2} (1+X)^\lambda X^\sigma g_n * g_n(x, s) + X^\sigma S_0 \bar{b} \bar{N}_{[\alpha]} \right] ds, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where, $\bar{g}_0 = \max_{x \in \Pi(X_1, X_2, T)} g(x, 0)$. Let us now define the function $h(x, t)$ to be

$$h(x, t) = h_0 + \int_0^t \left[\frac{k}{2} (1+X)^\lambda X^\sigma h * h(x, s) + h(x, s) \right] ds, \quad \text{with } 0 \leq t \leq T, 0 < x < \infty,$$

where $h_0 = \max \{ \bar{g}_0, X^\sigma S_0 \bar{b} \bar{N}_{[\alpha]} \}$ is a constant. Then, taking the Laplace transform and then the inverse Laplace transform and then simplifying we get the solution of the above integral equation as

$$h(x, t) = h_0 \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} h_0 x k (1+X)^\lambda X^\sigma (e^t - 1) + t \right\},$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T$ and $0 < x < \infty$. We claim that $g_n(x, t) \leq h(x, t)$ for all $0 < x < X$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, $n \geq 1$ and we prove it by the method of contradiction. For this, let us first set an auxiliary function as

$$h_\epsilon(x, t) = h_0 + \epsilon + \int_0^t \left[\frac{k}{2}(1+X)^\lambda X^\sigma h_\epsilon * h_\epsilon(x, s) + h_\epsilon(x, s) \right] ds$$

with $(x, t) \in \Pi$, $\epsilon > 0$. Then, at $t = 0$,

$$h_\epsilon(x, 0) = h_0 + \epsilon > h_0 \geq g(x, 0), \text{ for all } 0 < x < X. \quad (2.9)$$

Let $g_n(x, t) \not\leq h(x, t)$ for $0 < x < X$ and D is the set of points $(x, t) \in \Pi(X_1, X_2, T)$ on which $g_n(x, t) = h_\epsilon(x, t)$. From the inequality (2.9), it is clear that D does not touch the coordinate axes. Let (x_0, t_0) be such a point in D that the set $Q =]0, x_0[\times]0, t_0[$ does not contain any points of D . Since, h_ϵ, g_n are continuous, therefore we have $g_n(x, t) < h_\epsilon(x, t)$ for all $(x, t) \in Q$. So, using the fact that the values of the arguments of h_ϵ are in Q , we get

$$\begin{aligned} g_n(x_0, t_0) &= h_\epsilon(x_0, t_0) \\ &> h_0 + \epsilon + \int_0^{t_0} \left[\frac{k}{2}(1+X)^\lambda X^\sigma g_n * g_n(x_0, s) + X^\sigma S_0 \bar{b} \bar{N}_{[\alpha]} \right] ds \\ &> g(x, 0) + \epsilon + \int_0^{t_0} \left[\frac{k}{2}(1+X)^\lambda X^\sigma g_n * g_n(x_0, s) + X^\sigma S_0 \bar{b} \bar{N}_{[\alpha]} \right] ds \\ &\geq g_n(x_0, t_0) + \epsilon > g_n(x_0, t_0), \quad [\text{recalling equation (2.8)}]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, a contradiction arises. Hence, D is an empty set and using the continuity of the functions h_ϵ and g_n , we get

$$\begin{aligned} g_n(x, t) &< h_\epsilon(x, t) \quad \text{for all } (x, t) \in \Pi(X_1, X_2, T) \text{ and } n \geq 1 \\ &= (h_0 + \epsilon) \exp \left\{ \frac{k}{2}(1+X)^\lambda X^\sigma (h_0 + \epsilon) x (e^t - 1) + t \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ implies, $g_n(x, t) \leq h(x, t)$, for all $(x, t) \in \Pi(X_1, X_2, T)$, $n \geq 1$. Thus

$$g_n(x, t) \leq h_0 \exp \left\{ \frac{k}{2} h_0 X^{1+\sigma} (1+X)^\lambda (e^T - 1) + T \right\} = L_1 \text{ (say)} \quad (2.10)$$

Hence,

$$f_n(x, t) \leq L_1 X^\sigma = L, \text{ a constant.} \quad (2.11)$$

Therefore, the sequence $\{f_n(x, t)\}$ is uniformly bounded on $\Pi(X_1, X_2, T)$.

Step II:

Now we establish the equicontinuity of $\{f_n(x, t)\}$ with respect to the mass variable x in the rectangular strip $\Pi(X_1, X_2, T)$. Let us assume $X_1 \leq x \leq x' \leq X_2$, then for each $n \geq 1$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
|f_n(x', t) - f_n(x, t)| &\leq |f_0(x') - f_0(x)| + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left[\int_x^{x'} K_n(x' - y, y) f_n(x' - y, s) f_n(y, s) dy \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^x |K_n(x' - y, y) - K_n(x - y, y)| f_n(x' - y, s) f_n(y, s) dy \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^x K_n(x - y, y) |f_n(x' - y, s) - f_n(x - y, s)| f_n(y, s) dy \\
&\quad \left. + |f_n(x', s) - f_n(x, s)| \int_0^\infty K_n(x', y) f_n(y, s) dy \right] ds \quad (2.12)
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ f_n(x, s) \int_0^\infty |K_n(x', y) - K_n(x, y)| f_n(y, s) dy \quad (2.13)$$

$$+ \int_{x'}^\infty |b(x', y) - b(x, y)| S_n(y) f_n(y, s) dy \quad (2.14)$$

$$+ \int_x^{x'} b(x, y) S_n(y) f_n(y, s) dy \Big] ds$$

$$+ \int_0^t [|S_n(x') - S_n(x)| f_n(x', s) \quad (2.15)$$

$$+ S_n(x) |f_n(x', s) - f_n(x, s)|] ds. \quad (2.16)$$

We aim to show that the left hand side of equation (2.16) is small whenever $|x' - x|$ is very small. According to the construction, the sequence of continuous kernels $\{K_n, S_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are equicontinuous over the rectangles $[X_1, X_2] \times [z_1, z_2]$, $z_1, z_2 > 0$ and $[X_1, X_2]$ respectively and $b(x, y)$ is continuous over the rectangle $[X_1, X_2] \times [z_1, z_2]$. Therefore, corresponding to arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $\delta(\epsilon)$ such that $0 < \delta(\epsilon) < \epsilon$ with

$$\sup_{|x' - x| < \delta} |f_0(x') - f_0(x)| < \epsilon,$$

$$\sup_{|x' - x| < \delta} |K_n(x', y) - K_n(x, y)| < \epsilon,$$

$$\sup_{|x' - x| < \delta} |S_n(x') - S_n(x)| < \epsilon,$$

and

$$\sup_{|x' - x| < \delta} |b(x', y) - b(x, y)| < \epsilon.$$

The above inequalities hold uniformly for all $n \geq 1$ and $z_1 \leq y \leq z_2$. Let us define

$$\omega_n(t) = \sup_{|x' - x| < \delta} |f_n(x', t) - f_n(x, t)|, \quad X_1 \leq x, x' \leq X_2.$$

Now we rewrite the equation (2.13) as follows:

$$\int_0^\infty |K_n(x', y) - K_n(x, y)| f_n(y, s) dy \leq \int_0^{z_1} |K_n(x', y) - K_n(x, y)| f_n(y, s) dy \quad (2.17)$$

$$+ \int_{z_1}^{z_2} |K_n(x', y) - K_n(x, y)| f_n(y, s) dy \quad (2.18)$$

$$+ \int_{z_2}^\infty |K_n(x', y) - K_n(x, y)| f_n(y, s) dy. \quad (2.19)$$

Since, $\{K_n\}$ is equicontinuous over $[X_1, X_2] \times [z_1, z_2]$ so (2.18) implies,

$$\int_{z_1}^{z_2} |K_n(x', y) - K_n(x, y)| f_n(y, s) dy \leq \epsilon \bar{N}_0. \quad (2.20)$$

The term (2.17) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{z_1} |K_n(x', y) - K_n(x, y)| f_n(y, s) dy &\leq 2k \int_0^{z_1} \frac{(1+x+y)^\lambda}{(xy)^\sigma} f_n(y, s) dy \\ &\leq 2k \int_0^{z_1} \frac{1+x^\lambda+y^\lambda}{(xy)^\sigma} f_n(y, s) dy \\ &\leq 2k \frac{1+x^\lambda}{x^\sigma} \int_0^{z_1} \frac{y^{\gamma-\sigma}}{y^\gamma} f_n(y, s) dy \\ &\quad + 2k \frac{1}{x^\sigma} \int_0^{z_1} \frac{y^{\gamma+\lambda-\sigma}}{y^\gamma} f_n(y, s) dy \\ &\leq 2k(1+X)X^\sigma z_1^{\gamma-\sigma} \int_0^{z_1} \frac{1}{y^\gamma} f_n(y, s) dy \\ &\quad + 2kX^\sigma z_1^{\gamma+(\lambda-\sigma)} \int_0^{z_1} \frac{1}{y^\gamma} f_n(y, s) dy, \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_0^{z_1} |K_n(x', y) - K_n(x, y)| f_n(y, s) dy \leq 2k(1+X)X^\sigma \left[\bar{N}_\gamma z_1^{\gamma-\sigma} + \bar{N}_\gamma z_1^{\gamma+(\lambda-\sigma)} \right]. \quad (2.21)$$

Let us choose z_1 , such that $z_1 \bar{N}_\gamma \leq \epsilon$ and $z_1^{\gamma+(\lambda-\gamma)} \bar{N}_\gamma \leq \epsilon$. Therefore, equation (2.21) gives,

$$\int_0^{z_1} |K_n(x', y) - K_n(x, y)| f_n(y, s) dy \leq 4k(1+X)X^\sigma \epsilon. \quad (2.22)$$

Now, the term (2.19) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{z_2}^\infty |K_n(x', y) - K_n(x, y)| f_n(y, s) dy &\leq k \int_{z_2}^\infty \frac{(1+y)^\lambda}{y^\sigma} \left| \frac{(1+x')^\lambda}{(x')^\sigma} - \frac{(1+x)^\lambda}{x^\sigma} \right| f_n(y, s) dy \\ &\leq k \int_{z_2}^\infty \frac{(1+y)^\lambda}{y^\sigma} \left| \left(1 + \frac{1}{x'}\right)^\sigma (1+x')^{\lambda-\sigma} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^\sigma (1+x)^{\lambda-\sigma} \right| f_n(y, s) dy \\ &\leq k\delta(1+X) \int_{z_2}^\infty \left(y^{\lambda-\sigma} + \frac{1}{y^\sigma} \right) f_n(y, s) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

Like Saha and Kumar 2014, let $\phi(x)$ be non-negative & measurable and $\psi(x)$ is a positive and non-decreasing function for $x > 0$, then we have,

$$\int_z^\infty \phi(x) dx \leq \frac{1}{\psi(z)} \int_0^\infty \phi(x) \psi(x) dx, \quad z > 0, \quad (2.24)$$

when the integrals exists and are finite.

Now in the relation (2.24), first we consider $\phi(x) = f_n(x)$, $\psi(x) = x$ and then $\phi(x) = x^{\lambda-\sigma}f_n(x)$, $\psi(x) = x$ respectively to get,

$$\int_{z_2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{y^{\sigma}} f_n(y, s) dy \leq \frac{1}{z_2^{1+\sigma}} \bar{N}_1,$$

and

$$\int_{z_2}^{\infty} y^{\lambda-\sigma} f_n(y, s) dy \leq \frac{1}{z_2} \bar{N}_2.$$

Using these relations, the equation (2.23) reads as

$$\int_{z_2}^{\infty} |K_n(x', y) - K_n(x, y)| f_n(y, s) dy \leq k\delta(1+X) \left[\frac{1}{z_2^{1+\sigma}} \bar{N}_1 + \frac{1}{z_2} \bar{N}_2 \right]. \quad (2.25)$$

Like before we choose z_2 such that $z_2^{1+\sigma} \bar{N}_1 < \epsilon$ and $\frac{1}{z_2} \bar{N}_2 < \epsilon$. Hence,

$$\int_{z_2}^{\infty} |K_n(x', y) - K_n(x, y)| f_n(y, s) dy \leq 2k\delta(1+X)\epsilon. \quad (2.26)$$

Combining the relations (2.20), (2.22) and (2.26), we get

$$\int_0^{\infty} |K_n(x', y) - K_n(x, y)| f_n(y, s) dy \leq \epsilon [\bar{N}_0 + 4k(1+X)X^{\sigma} + 2k\delta(1+X)]. \quad (2.27)$$

For the term (2.12) we proceed in a similar manner as we have done in (2.13) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t |f_n(x', s) - f_n(x, s)| \int_0^{\infty} K_n(x', y) f_n(y, s) dy ds &\leq k \int_0^t \omega_n(s) \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(1+x+y)^{\lambda}}{(xy)^{\sigma}} f(y, s) dy ds \\ &\leq M_1 \int_0^t \omega_n(s) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

where M_1 is constant.

Now, the term (2.14) implies,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x'}^{\infty} |b(x', y) - b(x, y)| S_n(y) f_n(y, s) dy &\leq \epsilon S_0 \bar{N}_{[\alpha]} + 2S_0 \int_{z_2}^{\infty} y^{\alpha} b(x, y) f_n(y, s) dy \\ &\leq \epsilon \bar{N}_{[\alpha]} + 2S_0 \bar{b} \int_{z_2}^{\infty} y^{\alpha} f_n(y, s) dy \\ &\leq \epsilon (\bar{N}_{[\alpha]} + 2\bar{b}S_0), \text{ [by previous arguments]}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

The integrand in

$$\int_x^{x'} b(x, y) S_n(y) f_n(y, s) dy$$

is continuous in $\Pi(X_1, X_2, T)$, $\forall n \geq 1$. So,

$$|x' - x| < \delta \text{ implies } \int_x^{x'} b(x, y) S_n(y) f_n(y, s) dy \text{ is a small quantity.}$$

Using

$$\sup_{|x'-x|<\delta} |S_n(x') - S_n(x)| < \epsilon$$

and the relation (2.11), the term (2.15) can be written as

$$\int_0^t |S_n(x') - S_n(x)| f_n(x', s) ds < \epsilon LT. \quad (2.30)$$

The last term of equation (2.16) implies,

$$\int_0^t S_n(x) |f_n(x', s) - f_n(x, s)| ds \leq S_0 X^\alpha \int_0^t \omega_n(s) ds. \quad (2.31)$$

For the first integral in relation (2.16) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_x^{x'} K_n(x' - y, y) f_n(x' - y, s) f_n(y, s) dy &\leq \frac{1}{2} k(1 + X)^\lambda \int_x^{x'} \frac{f_n(x' - y, s) f_n(y, s)}{(x' - y)^\sigma y^\sigma} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2} k(1 + X)^\lambda \int_x^{x'} g_n(x' - y, s) g_n(y, s) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the uniform boundedness of the function g_n over the strip $\Pi(X_1, X_2, T)$ given in relation (2.10), we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_x^{x'} K_n(x' - y, y) f_n(x' - y, s) f_n(y, s) dy \leq M_2 |x' - x|, \quad (2.32)$$

where $M_2 = \frac{1}{2} k(1 + X)^\lambda L_1^2$ is a constant term. Hence, the expression (2.32) is a small quantity. Similarly, for the other terms in the relation (2.16) whose integrals are over the finite range we can show that they are small quantities. Therefore, recalling the relations (2.27), (2.28), (2.29), (2.30) and (2.31) in the equation (2.16), we obtain

$$\omega_n(t) \leq [L (\bar{N}_0 + 4k(1 + X)X^\sigma + 2k\delta(1 + X)) + (\bar{N}_{[\alpha]} + 2\bar{b}S_0) + LT] \epsilon \quad (2.33)$$

$$+ (M_1 + S_0 X^\alpha) \int_0^t \omega_n(s) ds \quad (2.34)$$

$$= M_3 \cdot \epsilon + M_4 \int_0^t \omega_n(s) ds, \quad (2.35)$$

where $M_3 = [L (\bar{N}_0 + 4k(1 + X)X^\sigma + 2k\delta(1 + X)) + (\bar{N}_{[\alpha]} + 2\bar{b}S_0) + LT]$ and $M_4 = [M_1 + S_0 X^\alpha]$ and these M_3 and M_4 are constants independent of n and ϵ .

By applying Gronwall's inequality in the relation (2.35), we get

$$\omega_n(t) \leq M_3 \cdot \epsilon \exp(M_4 T) \leq M_5 \cdot \epsilon. \quad (2.36)$$

Hence, the equicontinuity with respect to x on $\Pi(X_1, X_2, T)$ is obtained.

Step III:

We now proceed to show the equicontinuity of the sequence $\{f_n(x, t)\}$ with respect to the time variable t over $\Pi(X_1, X_2, T)$. Let $0 \leq t \leq t' \leq T$, $n \geq 1$. Therefore, the equation (2.1) can be rearranged to get the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |f_n(x, t') - f_n(x, t)| &\leq \int_t^{t'} \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_0^x K_n(x-y, y) f_n(x-y, s) f_n(y, s) dy \right. \\ &\quad + f_n(x, s) \int_0^\infty K_n(x, y) f_n(y, s) dy \\ &\quad \left. + \int_x^\infty b(x, y) S_n(y) f_n(y, s) dy + f_n(x, s) S_n(x) \right] ds. \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

Now, we proceed to show that corresponding to an arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that

$$|f_n(x, t') - f_n(x, t)| < \epsilon, \quad \text{whenever } |t' - t| < \delta(\epsilon).$$

From the first integral of the relation (2.37), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^x K_n(x-y, y) f_n(x-y, s) f_n(y, s) dy &\leq \frac{k}{2} (1+X)^\lambda \int_0^x \frac{1}{(x-y)^\sigma y^\sigma} f_n(x-y, t) f_n(y, t) dy \\ &= \frac{k}{2} (1+X)^\lambda \int_0^x g_n(x-y, t) g_n(y, t) dy \\ &\leq \frac{k}{2} (1+X)^\lambda X L_1^2, \quad [\text{Using relation (2.10)}]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for the second integral of the inequality (2.37), we use the relation (2.28) to get

$$f_n(x, s) \int_0^\infty K_n(x, y) f_n(y, s) dy \leq L M_1 = \text{constant}.$$

Again, for $(x, t) \in \Pi(X_1, X_2, T)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_x^\infty S_n(y) b(x, y) f_n(y, s) dy &\leq \bar{b} S_0 \int_0^\infty y^\alpha f_n(y, s) dy \\ &\leq \bar{b} S_0 \bar{N}_{[\alpha]}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the last term of the inequality (2.37) can be written as

$$S_n(x) f_n(x, s) \leq L S_0 X^\alpha.$$

Combining the bounds of all the four terms of the relation (2.37), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |f_n(x, t') - f_n(x, t)| &\leq \int_t^{t'} \left[\frac{k}{2} (1+X)^\lambda L^2 M_6 + L M_1 + \bar{b} S_0 \bar{N}_{[\alpha]} + L S_0 X^\alpha \right] ds \\ &\leq M_7 |t' - t| < M_7 \delta, \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

where $0 \leq t \leq t' \leq T$, $n \geq 1$ and $M_7 = \left[\frac{k}{2} (1+X)^\lambda L^2 M_6 + L M_1 + \bar{b} S_0 \bar{N}_{[\alpha]} + L S_0 X^\alpha \right]$. The constant M_7 is independent of n , ϵ and hence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is equicontinuous with respect to the variable t on $\Pi(X_1, X_2, T)$.

Thus, from the results (2.36) and (2.38), we can conclude that

$$\sup_{|x'-x|<\delta, |t'-t|<\delta} |f_n(x', t') - f_n(x, t)| \leq (M_5 + M_7)\epsilon \text{ for } X_1 \leq x, x' \leq X_2, 0 \leq t, t' \leq T, \quad (2.39)$$

where M_5 and M_7 are constants independent of n and ϵ . $\square$

Furthermore, combining the relations (2.11), (2.39) along with the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem [Ash 1972; Edwards 1994], we get the existence of a subsequence of the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ which is relatively compact in the uniform-convergence topology of continuous functions on each rectangle $\Pi(X_1, X_2, T)$.

Proof of the main Theorem 2.1:

Let us consider that the subsequence $\{f_{n_p}\}_{p=1}^\infty$ of the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges uniformly on each compact set in Π to a continuous non-negative function f . Let the integrals $\int_{z_1}^{z_2} \left(x^{j_1} + \frac{1}{x^{j_2}}\right) f(x, t) dx$ for $j_1 = 0, 1, \dots, r_1$ and $0 < j_2 < 1$.

So, there exists $p \geq 1$ such that for all $\epsilon > 0$

$$\int_{z_1}^{z_2} \left(x^{j_1} + \frac{1}{x^{j_2}}\right) f(x, t) dx \leq \int_{z_1}^{z_2} \left(x^{j_1} + \frac{1}{x^{j_2}}\right) f_{n_p}(x, t) dx + \epsilon, \quad (2.40)$$

for $j_1 = 0, 1, \dots, r_1$, $0 < j_2 < 1$. We find that in the relation (2.40), ϵ , z_1 and z_2 are arbitrary, so

$$\int_0^\infty \left(x^{j_1} + \frac{1}{x^{j_2}}\right) f(x, t) dx \leq \bar{N}_{j_1} + \bar{N}_{j_2}, \quad 0 \leq j_1 \leq r_1 \text{ and } 0 < j_2 < 1.$$

We have to prove that $f(x, t)$ is a solution to the initial-value problem (1.1), (1.2). For notational convenience we denote the subsequence $\{f_{n_p}\}_{p=1}^\infty$ by $\{f_p\}_{p=1}^\infty$ and correspondingly let us rearrange the terms of equation (2.1) by replacing K_n , S_n and f_n in (2.1)

with $K_p - K + K$, $S_p - S + S$ and $f_p - f + f$ respectively, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(f_p - f)(x, t) + f(x, t) = & f_0(x) + \int_0^t \left[\int_0^x \frac{1}{2} (K_p - K)(x - y, y) f_p(x - y, s) f_p(y, s) dy \right. \\
& + \int_0^x \frac{1}{2} K(x - y, y) (f_p(x - y, s) - f(x - y, s)) f_p(y, s) dy \\
& + \int_0^x \frac{1}{2} K(x - y, y) (f_p(y, s) - f(y, s)) f(x - y, s) dy \\
& + \int_0^x \frac{1}{2} K(x - y, y) f(x - y, s) f(y, s) dy \\
& - f_p(x, s) \int_0^\infty (K_p - K)(x, y) f_p(y, s) dy \\
& - (f_p - f)(x, s) \int_0^\infty K(x, y) f_p(y, s) dy \\
& - f(x, s) \int_0^\infty K(x, y) (f_p - f)(y, s) dy \\
& - f(x, s) \int_0^\infty K(x, y) f(y, s) dy \\
& + \int_x^\infty b(x, y) (S_p - S)(y) f_p(y, s) dy \\
& + \int_x^\infty b(x, y) S(y) (f_p - f)(y, s) dy \\
& + \left. \int_x^\infty b(x, y) S(y) f(y, s) dy - (S_p - S)(x) f_p(x, s) \right] ds \\
& - \int_0^t [S(x) (f_p - f)(x, s) - S(x) f(x, s)] ds. \tag{2.41}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, using the relation (2.27) we get

$$\left| \int_0^\infty (K_p - K)(x, y) f_p(y, s) dy \right| \leq M_8 \epsilon, \tag{2.42}$$

and

$$\left| \int_0^\infty K(x, y) (f_p - f)(y, s) dy \right| \leq M_8 \epsilon, \tag{2.43}$$

Similarly, using equation (2.29), we get

$$\int_x^\infty b(x, y) (S_p - S)(y) f_p(y, s) dy \leq M_9 \epsilon,$$

and

$$\int_x^\infty b(x, y) S(y) (f_p - f)(y, s) dy \leq M_9 \epsilon$$

where M_8 and M_9 are constants. Hence, in the equation (2.41) when $p \rightarrow \infty$, all the terms involving integrals over the infinite range tend to zero due to the estimates of their tails. It has already been proved earlier that the difference terms of equation (2.41)

involving the integrals over finite ranges are convergent. Hence, from the basic $\epsilon - \delta$ definition of sequence convergence it can easily be proved that as $p \rightarrow \infty$ those integrals also tend towards zero. Finally, from the equation (2.41) we find that only four terms which does not involve any difference quantities remains and thus establishing the fact that the function $f(x, t)$ is a solution of the problem (1.1), (1.2). This result can be obtained from equation (2.41) in the following form,

$$f(x, t) = f_0(x) + \int_0^t \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_0^x K(x-y, y) f(x-y, s) f(y, s) dy - f(x, s) \int_0^\infty K(x, y) f(y, s) dy + \int_x^\infty b(x, y) S(x) f(y, s) dy - S(x) f(x, s) \right] ds \quad (2.44)$$

Since all the terms present in equation (2.41) involving difference of two or more quantities, tend to zero and $f(x, t)$ is continuous (by basic assumption), it can be said that the r.h.s. of equation (1.1) is also a continuous function of $f(x, t)$ for all $(x, t) \in \Pi$. So, differentiating the above equation (2.44) with respect to time t it is obtained that $f(x, t)$ is a continuous and differentiable solution of the initial value problem (1.1), (1.2) in the functional space $\Omega_{r_1, r_2}^+(T)$. Thus the existence the existence of the strong solution to the problem (1.1), (1.2) is established and hence the Theorem 2.1 is proved. $\square$

3 Mass conserving property

Theorem 3.1. *Let all the assumptions of the Theorem 2.1 are satisfied, then solution of equations (1.1), (1.2) exhibits the mass conservative property.*

Proof. To show that the solutions of equations (1.1) and (1.2) satisfies mass conservation laws, we need to prove

$$N_1 = \int_0^\infty x f(x, t) dx = \bar{N}_1 = \text{constant, for all } t \geq 0.$$

Using the relations (1.4) and (2.6) we obtain that the integrals

$$\int_0^\infty x \left[\int_x^\infty S(y) b(x, y) f(y, t) dy - S(x) f(x, t) \right] dx,$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty x K(x, y) f(x, t) f(y, t) dy dx$$

are finite. Thus, we will get that

$$\frac{dN_1}{dt} = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$N_1 = \int_0^\infty x f(x, t) dx$$

is a constant (say $\bar{N}_1$). Hence, the mass conservative property is satisfied. $\square$

4 The uniqueness theory

In the previous section 2, the existence of the solution for the problems (1.1), (1.2) has already been established under certain assumptions over the kernels. The next question comes to check the well-posedness of a problem is, whether the solutions are unique or not? In this section we shall check the uniqueness property of the solution to (1.1), (1.2). In section 2, the class of domain considered to be $\Omega_{r_1, r_2}^+(T)$ for $r_1 \geq 1, 0 < r_2 < 1$. Here, we aim to prove the uniqueness of the same class of solutions that exists in section 2 over a sub-domain of $\Omega_{r_1, r_2}^+(T)$.

Let us re-define the domain space as,

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{r_1, r_2}(T) = \left\{ f(x, t) \in C([0, \infty[, [0, T]) : \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_0^\infty \left(x^{r_1} + \frac{1}{x^{r_2}} \right) |f(x, t)| dx =: \|f\|_{\tilde{\Omega}} < \infty \right\}$$

where $r_1 \geq 1, 0 < r_2 < 1$. Let the space of all non-negative functions in $\tilde{\Omega}_{r_1, r_2}(T)$ be denoted as $\tilde{\Omega}_{r_1, r_2}^+(T)$. In order to obtain the uniqueness of the solutions of equations (1.1), (1.2) we state and prove the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. *Let the conditions of Theorem 2.1 hold good and let the initial data satisfies $f_0(x) \in \tilde{\Omega}_{r_1, r_2}^+(0)$, then the solution of the problem (1.1), (1.2) is unique in the space $\tilde{\Omega}_{r_1, r_2}^+(T)$.*

Proof. We proof the uniqueness theorem by the method of contradiction. Let (1.1) and (1.2) do not have a unique solution. Let u_1 and u_2 be two distinct solutions of (1.1), (1.2) on $]0, T]$ with $u_1(x, 0) = u_2(x, 0)$ and $T > 0$. Let $U(x, t) = u_1(x, t) - u_2(x, t)$ and we construct

$$M(t) = \int_0^\infty \left(x^{k_1} + \frac{1}{x^{k_2}} \right) |U(x, t)| dx$$

where k_1, k_2 are constants chosen such that $0 \leq (\lambda - \sigma) + k_1 \leq \max\{\alpha, 1\}$, $0 \leq \sigma - k_1 \leq r_2$ and $0 < k_2 \leq \min\{|r_2 - \sigma|, \alpha\}$ along with the condition (iii) of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Following the construction of $U(x, t)$, it is absolutely continuous over the interval $]0, \infty[\times [0, T]$ and hence the function $U(x, t)$ satisfies equation (1.1). Therefore, the derivative of the solutions is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial U(x, t)}{\partial t} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^x K(x - y, y) \{u_1(x - y, t)u_1(y, t) - u_2(x - y, t)u_2(y, t)\} dy \\ &\quad - \int_0^\infty K(x, y) \{u_1(x, t)u_1(y, t) - u_2(x, t)u_2(y, t)\} dy \\ &\quad + \int_x^\infty b(x, y)S(y) \{u_1(y, t) - u_2(y, t)\} dy - S(x) \{u_1(x, t) - u_2(x, t)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Now defining,

$$\text{sgn}(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{when } t > 0, \\ 0, & \text{when } t = 0, \\ -1, & \text{when } t < 0. \end{cases}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and proceeding in a similar manner as done in Saha and Kumar 2014 we will obtain the uniqueness of the solutions of (1.1), (1.2) over the space $\tilde{\Omega}_{r_1, r_2}^+(T)$ as defined in Theorem 4.1. $\square$

5 Conclusion

In this work, a through mathematical analysis for the existence and uniqueness of the strong solutions (which are derivable with respect to t) of the continuous coagulation fragmentation equation (1.1), (1.2) is done. The coagulation kernel possess singularities on both the coordinate axes and the breakage kernel is considered to satisfy the multi-fragmentation criterion. In short, the existence theory is proved in three steps. Firstly, the existence of sequence of solutions $\{f_n(x, t)\}$ to the truncated problem (2.1) is obtained by considering suitable truncated kernels having compact support. In the next step we show the sequence $\{f_n(x, t)\}$ to be relatively compact over a rectangle and thus convergent subsequence $\{f_{n_k}(x, t)\}$ has been extracted from it. Let $f_{n_k}(x, t) \rightarrow f(x, t)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Finally, using some sequential results it is proved that the limit function $f(x, t)$ is actually the solution to the original initial value problem (1.1) & (1.2). It is interesting to note that all the mathematical results are proved by considering minimum necessary assumptions over the kernels. As a result, this work is able to provide the mathematical foundation to the existence of strong solutions for a wide class of singular coagulation kernels including the Smoluchowski's kernel showing Brownian diffusion motion of particles Smoluchowski 1917, granulation kernels Kapur 1972, shear kernels with both linear Smoluchowski 1917 and non-linear velocity profile Shiloh, Sideman, and Resnick 1973, EKE kernels Hounslow 1998, sludge flocculation kernel Ding, Hounslow, and Biggs 2006 and the aerosol dynamics kernel Friedlander 2000 which have immense practical usage. The novelty of this work is that unlike most of the previous articles in literature, the uniqueness has been derived for the same class of solutions which satisfies existence theory but over a slightly different space for which the existence theory has been discussed. Hence, the uniqueness of the solutions has been discussed for a wide class of functions which also cover most of the practically important kernels, mentioned above. Further, it is shown that the mass conservation law holds if the solution exists. Thus, combining the results of the sections 2, 3 and 4, it can be concluded that the existence uniqueness theory presented in this paper provides a theoretic foundation to a huge class of well-known, physical kernels having singular coagulation rate.

6 Acknowledgement

The first author, JS would like to thank Deutscher Akademischer Austausch Dienst (DAAD) and the second author, JK would like to thank Alexander von Humboldt (AvH) Foundation for their funding support and Otto-von-Guericke University, Magdeburg, Germany for their hospitality during this work.

References

- Ash, R.B. (1972). *Measure, integration, and functional analysis*. Academic Press New York.
- Ball, J.M. and J. Carr (1990). "The discrete coagulation-fragmentation equations: existence, uniqueness, and density conservation". In: *Journal of Statistical Physics* 61.1, pp. 203–234.
- Banasiak, J. and M.M. Kharroubi (2015). *Evolutionary Equations with Applications in Natural Sciences*. Springer.

- Bourgade, J.P., F. Filbet, et al. (2008). “Convergence of a finite volume scheme for coagulation-fragmentation equations”. In: *Mathematics of Computation* 77.262, p. 851.
- Camejo, C.C. (2013). “The Singular Coagulation and Coagulation-Fragmentation Equations”. In: *PhD Thesis*.
- Camejo, C.C., R. Gröpler, and G. Warnecke (2014). “Regular solutions to the coagulation equations with singular kernels”. In: *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*.
- Camejo, C.C. and G. Warnecke (2014). “The singular kernel coagulation equation with multifragmentation”. In: *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*.
- Carr, J. and F.P. Da Costa (1992). “Instantaneous gelation in coagulation dynamics”. In: *Zeitschrift für Angewandte Mathematik und Physik* 43.6, pp. 974–983.
- Da Costa, F.P. (1995). “Existence and uniqueness of density conserving solutions to the coagulation-fragmentation equations with strong fragmentation”. In: *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 192.3, pp. 892–914.
- Ding, A., M.J. Hounslow, and C.A. Biggs (2006). “Population balance modelling of activated sludge flocculation: Investigating the size dependence of aggregation, breakage and collision efficiency”. In: *Chemical Engineering Science* 61.1, pp. 63–74. ISSN: 0009-2509. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2005.02.074>. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com>.
- Dubovskii, P.B. (1994). *Mathematical theory of coagulation*. Seoul National University. Research Institute of Mathematics.
- Dubovskii, P.B. and I.W. Stewart (1996). “Existence, uniqueness and mass conservation for the coagulation-fragmentation equation”. In: *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences* 19.7, pp. 571–591.
- Edwards, R.E. (1994). *Functional Analysis: Theory and Applications, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1965*. Vol. 36, p. 4308.
- Escobedo, M. et al. (2003). “Gelation and mass conservation in coagulation-fragmentation models”. In: *Journal of Differential Equations* 195.1, pp. 143–174. ISSN: 0022-0396. DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0396\(03\)00134-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0396(03)00134-7). URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com>.
- Filbet, F. and P. Laureçot (2004). “Numerical simulation of the Smoluchowski coagulation equation”. In: *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing* 25.6, pp. 2004–2028.
- Friedlander, S.K. (2000). *Smoke, dust, and haze*. Vol. 198. Oxford University Press New York.
- Giri, A.K., J. Kumar, and G. Warnecke (2011). “The continuous coagulation equation with multiple fragmentation”. In: *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 374.1, pp. 71–87.
- Hounslow, M. (1998). “The population balance as a tool for understanding particle rate processes”. In: *KONA Powder and Particle Journal* 16.0, pp. 179–193.
- Kapur, P.C. (1972). “Kinetics of granulation by non-random coalescence mechanism”. In: *Chemical Engineering Science* 27.10, pp. 1863–1869.
- Kumar, J., J. Saha, and E. Tsotsas (accepted for publication, 2015). “Development and convergence analysis of a finite volume scheme for solving breakage equation”. In: *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*.
- Kumar, J. and G. Warnecke (2008). “Convergence analysis of sectional methods for solving breakage population balance equations-II: the cell average technique”. In: *Numerische Mathematik* 110.4, pp. 539–559.
- Kumar, J. et al. (2006). “Improved accuracy and convergence of discretized population balance for aggregation: The cell average technique”. In: *Chemical engineering science* 61.10, pp. 3327–3342.

- Kumar, S. and D. Ramkrishna (1996). “On the solution of population balance equations by discretization I. A fixed pivot technique”. In: *Chemical Engineering Science* 51.8, pp. 1311–1332.
- Lamb, W. (2004). “Existence and uniqueness results for the continuous coagulation and fragmentation equation”. In: *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences* 27.6, pp. 703–721.
- Laurençot, P. (2000). “On a class of continuous coagulation-fragmentation equations”. In: *Journal of Differential Equations* 167.2, pp. 245–274.
- Laurençot, P. and S. Mischler (2002). “From the discrete to the continuous coagulation-fragmentation equations”. In: *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Section A Mathematics* 132.05, pp. 1219–1248.
- McLaughlin, D.J., W. Lamb, and A.C. McBride (1997a). “A semigroup approach to fragmentation models”. In: *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis* 28.5, pp. 1158–1172.
- (1997b). “An existence and uniqueness result for a coagulation and multiple-fragmentation equation”. In: *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis* 28.5, pp. 1173–1190.
- McLeod, J.B. (1962). “On an infinite set of non-linear differential equations”. In: *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics* 13.1, pp. 119–128.
- (1964). “On the scalar transport equation”. In: *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* 3.3, pp. 445–458.
- Melzak, Z.A. (1957). “A scalar transport equation”. In: *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 85.2, pp. 547–560.
- Saha, J. and J. Kumar (2014). “The singular coagulation equation with multiple fragmentation”. In: *Zeitschrift für Angewandte Mathematik und Physik* 66.3, pp. 919–941.
- (2015). “Development of a mass conserving discretization technique for breakage problems and its convergence analysis”. In: *International Journal of Advances in Engineering Sciences and Applied Mathematics*, pp. 1–11.
- Sastry, Kalanadh V.S. (1975). “Similarity size distribution of agglomerates during their growth by coalescence in granulation or green pelletization”. In: *International Journal of Mineral Processing* 2.2, pp. 187–203.
- Shiloh, K., S. Sideman, and W. Resnick (1973). “Coalescence and break-up in dilute polydispersions”. In: *The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering* 51.5, pp. 542–549.
- Smoluchowski, M. von (1916). “Drei vorträge über diffusion, brownische bewegung und koagulation von kolloidteilchen”. In: *Zeitschrift für Physik* 17, pp. 557–585.
- (1917). “Versuch einer mathematischen Theorie der Koagulationskinetik kolloider Lösungen”. In: *Zeitschrift für physikalische Chemie* XCII, pp. 129–168.
- Stewart, I.W. (1989). “A global existence theorem for the general coagulation-fragmentation equation with unbounded kernels”. In: *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences* 11.5, pp. 627–648.
- (1990a). “A uniqueness theorem for the coagulation-fragmentation equation”. In: *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*. Vol. 107. 03. Cambridge Univ Press, pp. 573–578.
- (1990b). “On the coagulation-fragmentation equation”. English. In: *Zeitschrift für Angewandte Mathematik und Physik* 41.6, pp. 917–924. ISSN: 0044-2275. DOI: [10.1007/BF00945844](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00945844). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00945844>.

Stewart, I.W. (1991). “Density conservation for a coagulation equation”. In: *Zeitschrift für Angewandte Mathematik und Physik* 42.5, pp. 746–756.